



# NEW YORK, NEW YORK

## Green Prosperity and Poverty Reduction

Robert Pollin, Jeannette Wicks-Lim & Heidi Garrett-Peltier  
 Political Economy Research Institute, University of Massachusetts, Amherst

June 2009

Low-income households in the New York City metropolitan area could receive significant benefits from clean-energy investment in the region. These potential benefits would include a substantial expansion in job opportunities, especially for people with high school degrees or less; rising wages; reduced home heating and utility costs; and improved access and convenience for public transportation. All of these benefits would be in addition to the environmental gains achieved through large-scale investments in energy efficiency and renewable energy (see Table 1).

These benefits will be encouraged by the clean-energy features of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, the February 2009 Obama stimulus program. They will also be supported by the American Clean Energy and Security Act, now being considered in Congress. Among the features of this pending bill are measures to ensure that low-income households will not be affected by possible future oil, gas, and coal price increases tied to the legislation.

Below, we look at the potential impact on the New York metropolitan area of an economy-wide \$150 billion shift in spending from fossil fuels to clean energy. Based on the area's current population and the size of its economy, that would bring about roughly \$6.7 billion in clean-energy investments in the New York area, or almost one percent of all economic activity in 2008.

**In the New York metropolitan area, investment in a clean-energy economy would produce 62,949 jobs, over 36,000 for workers with high school degrees or less, and cut unemployment by over one percentage point.**

### EMPLOYMENT

A \$6.7 billion investment in clean energy in the New York metropolitan area would create a net expansion of 62,949 jobs there, based on the area's labor market in 2008 (see Table 2). This would be enough to reduce unemployment in the area by 1.1 percentage points, from 5.5 to 4.3 percent as of 2008. A reduction in unemployment of this amount could, in turn, lead to a rise in the average wage for workers in the area of over two percent.

The impact would be particularly strong for workers with lower levels of education. In Table 3, we categorize the jobs that would be added by investing in clean energy according to three categories: 'college degree jobs,' requiring at least a B.A. degree; 'some college jobs,' requiring some college but not a B.A.; and 'high school or less jobs.' This last category includes jobs that tend to offer decent opportunities for advancement and higher wages over time, such as jobs in construction, manufacturing and transportation. These jobs are in contrast to 'high school or less' jobs in hotels, restaurants, and personal service industries, where opportunities for advancement are much lower.

As Table 3 shows, this shift of \$6.7 billion from fossil fuels to clean energy will produce over 36,000 new 'high school or less' jobs (roughly half of all jobs generated by clean-energy investments in the New York metropolitan area), including over 26,000 of those jobs that tend to offer opportunities for rising earnings over time.

TABLE 1. BENEFITS FROM A CLEAN-ENERGY INVESTMENT PROGRAM FOR LOW-INCOME HOUSEHOLDS

1) New jobs created	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 62,949 new jobs overall</li> <li>• 36,304 jobs for workers with high school degrees or less</li> </ul>
2) Falling unemployment produces rising wages	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Earnings could rise 2.2% for low-income workers as unemployment in the New York metropolitan area falls by 1.1 percentage points</li> </ul>
3) Benefits of retrofitting buildings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retrofits could reduce living costs by up to 4%</li> </ul>
4) Improved public transportation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increasing public transit use could reduce living costs by about 1%</li> <li>• Households that forego the use of one car could reduce living costs by about 10%</li> </ul>

# NEW YORK, NEW YORK (PAGE 2)

## BUILDING RETROFITS

The New York metropolitan area has a relatively old housing stock and a cold climate. This means significant opportunities exist for achieving energy savings for low-income people through building retrofits. Specifically, energy costs for the existing housing stock could fall enough for homeowners and renters to achieve energy savings in the range of four percent of their overall incomes.

**In the New York metropolitan area, homeowners and renters could save up to 4% of their income by investing in retrofits, and about 1% of their living costs through increased access to public transportation.**

For the 41 percent of area residents who own their homes, retrofits (such as replacing windows or upgrading insulation) could be facilitated by organizations such as banks, utilities or non-profit community groups who could provide financing and management, thereby relieving individuals of the need to take the initiative and bear the up-front costs of arranging retrofits of their homes. For the 59 percent of households who rent, policies will need to ensure that renters, not just landlords, receive benefits from energy efficiency investments. Renters who pay utility bills directly would see their bills fall in proportion to the overall energy savings, sharing the benefit with their landlords. Renters in subsidized housing, who typically do not pay for their utilities directly, should see their fixed rents reduced proportionally to the reduction in energy costs.

## PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

Households in the New York metropolitan area could save about one percent of their incomes if they increase their use of public transportation to between 25 percent and 50 percent of their local travel. Households that forego the use of one car could reduce their living costs by roughly 10 percent.

The New York metropolitan area currently has an extensive and well utilized public transportation system; in New York City itself, 55 percent of workers commute by public transportation. A clean energy investment agenda could therefore be used most effectively to reduce fares on the public transportation already in place. Depending on the level of fare reduction, the resulting savings could provide some improvement in the living standard of low-income households in the New York region.

**TABLE 2. NET EMPLOYMENT EXPANSION THROUGH \$6.7 BILLION SHIFT FROM FOSSIL FUELS TO CLEAN ENERGY (BASED ON 2008 LABOR MARKET)**

Job creation	62,949 jobs
Unemployment rate before clean-energy investments	5.5%
Unemployment rate after clean-energy investments	4.3%

source: 2004-2008 Current Population Survey; Bureau of Labor Statistics 2008, IMPLAN.

**TABLE 3. BREAKDOWN OF NET JOB EXPANSION BY FORMAL EDUCATION CREDENTIALS**

College degree jobs • B.A. or above • \$27.70 average wage	10,656 (16.9% of clean-energy jobs)
Some college jobs • some college but not B.A. • \$16.00 average wage	15,988 (25.4% of clean-energy jobs)
High school or less jobs • high school degree or less • \$12.70 average wage	36,304 (57.7% of clean-energy jobs)
High school or less jobs with decent earnings potential • \$15.00 average wage	26,301 (41.8% of clean-energy jobs)

source: 2004-2008 Current Population Survey; IMPLAN.

The full report from which the data in this fact sheet are drawn can be found at [www.peri.umass.edu](http://www.peri.umass.edu).

Media inquiries can be addressed to:

PERI: [peri@peri.umass.edu](mailto:peri@peri.umass.edu)

NRDC: [moko@nrdc.org](mailto:moko@nrdc.org)

Green For All: [tomljanovic@sunshinesachs.com](mailto:tomljanovic@sunshinesachs.com)

More information on these organizations can be found at [www.peri.umass.edu](http://www.peri.umass.edu) / [www.nrdc.org](http://www.nrdc.org) / [www.greenforall.org](http://www.greenforall.org)

